

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 twww.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,314 07/15/2003		Mikhail Y. Kachalov	02116	7950		
	7590	04/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			SHAW, CLIFFORD C			
Cabot Corpora		•				
157 Concord Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Billerica, MA 01821-7001				1725		

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ĽĎ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/620,314	KACHALOV ET A	L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Clifford C. Shaw	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are allowed.			Φ.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45 and 46</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers ,		·	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	🗖 .						
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0904</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Detailed Action

1.) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2.) Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Japanese document no. JP1-283367A. The English abstract of the Japanese document no. JP1-283367A clearly discloses a method of resistance welding a sputtering target to a backing plate, satisfying the claim limitations.
- 3.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.) Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Suter (3,511,962) or Lachman (828,033). Either one of Suter (3,511,962) or Lachman (828,033) disclose a method of joining metal members with the steps claimed, including: contacting a projection of a first member to a groove in a second member (see figures 2 and 3 of Suter (3,511,962), note grooves and projections C1, C; see figure 2 of Lachman (828,033), note

Art Unit: 1725

grooves 2, projections 1); conducting an electrical current through electrodes to cause resistance heating of the projections and grooves (see element K in figure 1 of Suter (3,511,962); see column 2, lines 24-30 in Lachman (828,033)); applying a force between the projections and grooves (see elements I and G in figure 1 of Suter (3,511,962); see column 2, lines 24-30 in Lachman (828,033)). The claims differ from either one of Suter (3,511,962) or Lachman (828,033) in calling for partially deforming at least one projection to at least partially fill a groove. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. Although neither Suter (3,511,962) nor Lachman (828,033) explicitly discuss projection deformation or groove filling, it is considered obvious that these phenomena must take place in the methods of either one of Suter (3,511,962) or Lachman (828,033) because these methods involve resistance welding wherein the projections are necessarily partially molten during the process. This partial melting would obviously result in partial deformation and filling as claimed, thereby satisfying the claims.

5.) Claims 1-44 are allowable over the prior art of record. None of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the method of forming a sputtering target assembly with the steps of claim 1 with the limitations associated with the projections and grooves, the electrical resistance heating, and the partial deformation of projection as is set forth in the claim. None of the prior art of record teaches or suggests a sputtering target assembly with the features set forth in claim 29, especially the limitations associated with the projections and grooves and the resistance heating and mechanical bonding thereof as set forth in the claim. The dependent claims are allowable

Application/Control Number: 10/620,314

Art Unit: 1725

over the prior art of record at least because they depend from allowable independent claims 1 and

Page 4

29.

6.) The patent to Wiech, Jr. (4,722,824) is cited to show a prior art resistance welding

method that includes projections and grooves in the workpieces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at

telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of

the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

April 15, 2005